

Comment on “Multiorbital Effects on the Transport and the Superconducting Fluctuations in LiFeAs”

A. Ramos-Álvarez, J. Mosqueira, and F. Vidal

LBTS, Departamento de Física da Materia Condensada,
Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, E-15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain
(Dated: September 25, 2015)

PACS numbers: 74.70.Xa, 74.25.F-, 74.25.Jb, 74.40.-n

In Ref. [1] Rullier-Albenque *et al.* measured the transverse magnetoresistivity $\delta\rho(H)/\rho(0)$ above the transition temperature T_c in clean LiFeAs. These authors conclude that the conductivity induced by fluctuations, $\Delta\sigma$, follows a two-dimensional (2D) behavior even close to T_c , in spite that for LiFeAs the transverse coherence length $\xi_c(0) \approx 1.6$ nm is larger than the Fe-layers spacing ($s = 0.636$ nm), which would rather suggest a three-dimensional (3D) behavior. This proposal would have implications in the understanding of the multiband structure of iron pnictides, but it also contrasts with the 3D behavior observed near T_c in the same compound [2] and in other iron pnictides with even smaller $\xi_c(0)/s$ [3]. Here we show that the proposal of Ref. [1] could be an artifact associated to an inadequate subtraction of the normal-state (or *background*) conductivity, σ_B .

Note first that in the clean crystals studied in Ref. [1] σ_B is orders of magnitude larger than the expected fluctuation contribution: at a reduced temperature $\varepsilon \equiv \ln(T/T_c) = 10^{-1}$ the Aslamazov-Larkin (AL) approach predicts $\Delta\sigma_{2D} \sim 2.5 \times 10^5 \Omega^{-1}\text{m}^{-1}$ and $\Delta\sigma_{3D} \sim 1.5 \times 10^4 \Omega^{-1}\text{m}^{-1}$, whereas $\sigma_B \sim 2 \times 10^7 \Omega^{-1}\text{m}^{-1}$ (note that in Ref. [1] the AL $\Delta\sigma_{3D}$ is erroneously overestimated by a factor of 2). Thus, extracting $\Delta\sigma$ in these crystals would require a highly precise procedure to determine σ_B , which questions the adequacy of $\Delta\sigma$ to study the superconducting fluctuations in clean LiFeAs.

The procedure used in Ref. [1] to determine the background conductivity assume a strict H^2 behavior of the magnetoresistivity in the normal state [4]. For temperatures near T_c , the deviation from this behavior observed at low fields is attributed to fluctuations. However, isotherms well above T_c , where fluctuation effects are negligible, present a similar H^2 dependence. This is difficult to appreciate in Fig. 2 of Ref. [1] due to the scale, but may be clearly seen in the detailed view of the present Fig. 1 [5]: isotherms above 45 K present a relative rounded behavior *quite similar* to the one at 25 K, where fluctuation effects are claimed to be present. This shows that the $\delta\rho(H)/\rho(0)$ deviations from the H^2 behavior is a normal-state effect, that near T_c will be superimposed to the superconducting fluctuation effects.

Our analysis poses serious doubts about the conclusions drawn in Ref. [1] about the 2D nature of fluctuation effects in LiFeAs. Moreover, it questions the applicability

to this material of the model proposed for the quadratic dependence of $\delta\rho(H)/\rho(0)$ in the normal state.

This work was supported by the Xunta de Galicia (Grant No. GPC2014/038) and COST action MP1201 (NanoSC). A.R.-A. acknowledges financial support from Spains MICINN through FPI (Grant No. BES-2011-046820).




FIG. 1. Detail of the H^2 dependence of $\delta\rho/\rho(0)$ for sample FP1 at 25, 45, 50 and 60 K (for a better comparison some isotherms are multiplied by the indicated factor). For all isotherms the lines are fits to the data above 100 T². These isotherms present a similar relative rounded behavior at low fields, in spite that fluctuation effects are assumed to be negligible above 45 K.

- [1] F. Rullier-Albenque *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 187005 (2012); *ibid.* **113**, 209901 (2014).
- [2] Y.J. Song *et al.*, Europhys. Lett. **97**, 47003 (2012).
- [3] J. Mosqueira *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 094519 (2011).
- [4] This is intended to be justified in the Supplementary Material for Ref. [1]. Unfortunately, the claimed measurements of the Hall effect up to 14 T are not shown.
- [5] The uncertainty associated to the capture of the data points from Ref. [1] through a standard graphical procedure remains below the data points size.